

# Higher-order feedback computation

Leonardo PACHECO (TU Wien)\*

Feedback Turing machines are Turing machines which can query a halting oracle  $h : \subseteq \omega \times \omega \rightarrow \{\downarrow, \uparrow\}$ , which has information on the convergence or divergence of feedback computations. That is, given the code  $e$  for a feedback Turing machine and an input  $n$  the oracle  $h$  answers if the computation  $\{e\}^h(n)$  converges or diverges. To avoid a contradiction by diagonalization, feedback Turing machines have two ways of not converging: they can diverge as standard Turing machines, or they can freeze. A feedback Turing machine freezes when it asks the halting oracle  $h$  about a pair  $\langle e, n \rangle$  not in the domain of  $h$ .

Feedback Turing machines were first studied by Ackerman, Freer and Lubarsky [1, 2]. They proved that the feedback computable sets are the  $\Delta_1^1$  sets and the feedback semi-computable sets are the  $\Pi_1^1$  sets. We can also show that the feedback semi-computable sets are the winning regions of Gale–Stewart games with  $\Sigma_1^0$  payoff [3]. It is quite curious that some of the key results of [1] were announced in Rogers’ textbook on recursion theory [4], almost 50 years before proofs were published.

A natural question to ask is: what about feedback Turing machines which can ask if computations of the same type converge, diverge, or freeze? These new machines are second-order feedback machines. Note that we must now have a new and stronger notion of freezing to avoid a contradiction by diagonalization. Having defined second-order feedback computation, it is now natural to ask: what about third-, fourth-, and higher-order feedback?

We define  $\alpha$ th order feedback Turing machines for each computable ordinal  $\alpha$ . We also describe feedback computable and semi-computable sets using inductive definitions and Gale–Stewart games. Specifically, we prove the following level-by-level correspondence:

Theorem. For all  $\alpha < \omega_1^{\text{ck}}$ , the following classes coincide:

1. the  $(\alpha + 1)$ -feedback semi-computable sets;
2. the sets definable by  $\alpha + 1$  simultaneous arithmetical inductive operators; and
3. the sets of winning positions of Gale–Stewart games whose payoffs are differences of  $\alpha + 1$  many  $\Sigma_2^0$  sets.

(Joint work with Juan P. Aguilera and Robert S. Lubarsky.)

## References

- [1] N.L. Ackerman, C.E. Freer, R.S. Lubarsky, “Feedback Turing Computability, and Turing Computability as Feedback”, 2015.
- [2] N.L. Ackerman, C.E. Freer, R.S. Lubarsky, “An Introduction to Feedback Turing Computability”, 2020.
- [3] Y. Moschovakis, “Descriptive Set Theory”, 2009.
- [4] H. Rogers Jr., “Theory of Recursive Functions and Effective Computability”, 1987.

---

\*e-mail: [leonardovpacheco@gmail.com](mailto:leonardovpacheco@gmail.com)

web: <https://leonardopacheco.xyz>

This work was supported by the Austrian Science Fund Grant TAI-797.

Keywords: Turing computability, inductive definitions, determinacy.