

# Game semantics for the constructive $\mu$ -calculus

Leonardo Pacheco  
*TU Wien*

16 November 2023

Available at: [leonardopacheco.xyz/slides/lanmr2023.pdf](https://leonardopacheco.xyz/slides/lanmr2023.pdf)

# AXIOMATIZATION

The axioms of CK are:

- ▶ all intuitionistic tautologies;
- ▶  $K := \Box(\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow (\Box\varphi \rightarrow \Box\psi) \wedge \Box(\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow (\Diamond\varphi \rightarrow \Diamond\psi)$ ;

CS5 is closed under necessitation and *modus ponens*:

$$\frac{\varphi}{\Box\varphi} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\varphi \quad \varphi \rightarrow \psi}{\psi}.$$

# SEMANTICS FOR CONSTRUCTIVE MODAL LOGIC

Constructive Kripke model are tuples  $\langle W, W^\perp, \preceq, R, V \rangle$  with:

- ▶  $W$  = set of possible worlds;
- ▶  $W^\perp$  = set of fallible worlds;
- ▶  $\preceq$  = intuitionistic relation;
- ▶  $R$  = modal relation;
- ▶  $V$  = valuation.

We require that  $wR; \preceq v$  implies  $w \preceq; Rv$ .

Define:

- ▶  $M, w \models \Box\varphi$  iff  $\forall v \succeq w \forall u. vRu$  implies  $M, u \models \varphi$ ;
- ▶  $M, w \models \Diamond\varphi$  iff  $\forall v \succeq w \exists u. vRu$  and  $M, u \models \varphi$ .

## Theorem

*CK is complete over constructive Kripke frames.*

# GAME SEMANTICS — I

Given a formula  $\varphi$ , a Kripke model  $M$  and a world  $w$ , we define an evaluation game for  $M, w \models \varphi$

- ▶ Two players: I and II;
- ▶ Two roles: Verifier and Refuter, I starts as Verifier;
- ▶ Examples of moves;
  - ▶ At  $\langle \psi \wedge \theta, w \rangle$ , Refuter moves to one of  $\langle \psi, w \rangle$  and  $\langle \theta, w \rangle$ .
  - ▶ At  $\langle \Diamond \psi, w \rangle$ , Refuter picks  $v \succeq w$  and Verifier picks  $u$  with  $vRu$ , the players move to  $\langle \psi, u \rangle$ .
  - ▶ At  $\langle \neg \psi, w \rangle$ , the players switch roles and move to  $\langle \psi, w \rangle$ .
  - ▶ At  $\langle P, w \rangle$ , Verifier wins iff  $w \in V(P)$ .
- ▶  $M, w \models \varphi$  iff Verifier wins the evaluation game.

# AN ASIDE — OTHER CONSTRUCTIVE VARIATIONS

We get **IK** by adding to **CK** the axioms:

- ▶  $FS := (\Diamond\varphi \rightarrow \Box\psi) \rightarrow \Box(\varphi \rightarrow \psi);$
- ▶  $DP := \Diamond(\varphi \vee \psi) \rightarrow \Diamond\varphi \vee \Diamond\psi;$  and
- ▶  $N := \neg\Diamond\perp.$

We get **GK** by adding to **IK** the axiom:

- ▶  $GD := (\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \vee (\psi \rightarrow \varphi).$

These systems are complete over certain classes of constructive Kripke frames.

# BASIC DEFINITIONS

The constructive  $\mu$ -formulas are defined by the following grammar:

$$\varphi := P \mid X \mid \perp \mid \top \mid \neg\varphi \mid \varphi \wedge \varphi \mid \varphi \vee \varphi \mid \varphi \rightarrow \varphi \mid \Box\varphi \mid \Diamond\varphi \mid \mu X.\varphi \mid \nu X.\varphi,$$

$\mu X.\varphi$  and  $\nu X.\varphi$  are defined iff  $X$  is positive\* in  $\varphi$ .

The semantics for  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are as follows:

- ▶  $M, w \models \mu X.\varphi$  iff  $w$  is in the least fixed point of  $\Gamma_{\varphi(X)}$ ;
- ▶  $M, w \models \nu X.\varphi$  iff  $w$  is in the greatest fixed point of  $\Gamma_{\varphi(X)}$ ,

where

$$\Gamma_{\varphi(X)}(A) := \|\varphi(A)\|^M.$$

EVALUATING  $\mu X.P \vee \Diamond X$ 

- ▶ Let  $M$  be a Kripke model.
- ▶  $\|\mu X.P \vee \Diamond X\|^M$  is the least fixed-point of  $A \mapsto P \vee \Diamond A$ .
- ▶ We can approximate this fixed-point by

$$\emptyset \mapsto \|P\|^M \mapsto \|P \vee \Diamond P\|^M \mapsto \|P \vee \Diamond P \vee \Diamond \Diamond P\|^M \mapsto \dots$$

- ▶ We have

$$\mu X.P \vee \Diamond X \equiv P \vee \Diamond P \vee \Diamond \Diamond P \vee \dots$$

# GAME SEMANTICS — II

Consider the evaluation game for  $\mu X.P \vee \Diamond X$ :

- ▶ From  $\langle \mu X.P \vee \Diamond X, v \rangle$ , the players move to  $\langle P \vee \Diamond X, v \rangle$ .
- ▶ From  $\langle X, v \rangle$ , the players move to  $\langle \mu X.P \vee \Diamond X, v \rangle$ .
- ▶ Verifier loses if the operator  $\mu X$  is not regenerated infinitely often.
- ▶ That is, Verifier loses all infinite runs.



## EVALUATING

$$\nu X \mu Y. \varphi(X, Y) := \nu X \mu Y. (P \wedge \Diamond X) \vee (\neg P \wedge \Diamond Y)$$

- ▶ Let  $M$  be a constructive Kripke model.
- ▶  $\|\nu X \mu Y. \varphi(X, Y)\|^M$  is the least fixed-point of  $A \mapsto \mu Y. \varphi(A, Y)$ .
- ▶ To approximate this fixed-point, we do as follows:
  - ▶  $X_0 := W$ ;
  - ▶  $Y_0$  is the least-fixed point of  $\Gamma_{\varphi(X_0, Y)}$ ;
  - ▶  $X_1 := \|\varphi(X_0, Y_0)\|^M$ ;
  - ▶  $Y_1$  is the least-fixed point of  $\Gamma_{\varphi(X_1, Y)}$ ;
  - ▶ ...
  - ▶  $X_{\alpha+1} := \|\varphi(X_\alpha, Y_\alpha)\|^M$ ;
  - ▶  $Y_{\alpha+1}$  is the least-fixed point of  $\Gamma_{\varphi(X_{\alpha+1}, Y)}$ ;
  - ▶ ...
- ▶  $\|\nu X \mu Y. \varphi(X, Y)\|$  is the least  $X_\alpha$  such that  $X_\alpha = X_{\alpha+1}$ .

# GAME SEMANTICS — III

- ▶ Let  $\eta X.\psi_X$  be the infinitely often regenerated formula with biggest scope.
- ▶ The player on role of Verifier at  $\langle \eta X.\psi_X, v \rangle$  wins iff  $\eta$  is  $\nu$ .
- ▶ The complete set of rules is:

Verifier	
Position	Admissible moves
$\langle v, \psi_1 \vee \psi_2 \rangle$	$\{ \langle v, \psi_1 \rangle, \langle v, \psi_2 \rangle \}$
$\langle v, \psi_0 ? \psi_1 \rangle$	$\{ \langle v, \psi_0 \rangle$ and exchange roles, $\langle v, \psi_1 \rangle \}$
$\langle \langle v \rangle, \psi \rangle$	$\{ \langle u, \psi \rangle \mid v \sqsubseteq u \}$
$\langle v, P \rangle$ and $v \notin V(P)$	$\emptyset$
$\langle v, \mu X.\psi_X \rangle$	$\{ \langle v, \psi_X \rangle \}$
$\langle v, X \rangle$	$\{ \langle v, \psi_X \rangle \}$
Refuter	
Position	Admissible moves
$\langle v, \psi_1 \wedge \psi_2 \rangle$	$\{ \langle v, \psi_1 \rangle, \langle v, \psi_2 \rangle \}$
$\langle v, \neg \psi \rangle$	$\{ \langle u, \psi \rangle \mid v \preceq v \}$ and exchange roles
$\langle v, \psi_1 \rightarrow \psi_2 \rangle$	$\{ \langle u, \psi_0 ? \psi_1 \rangle \mid v \preceq v \}$
$\langle v, \Diamond \psi \rangle$	$\{ \langle \langle u \rangle, \psi \rangle \mid v \preceq u \}$
$\langle v, \Box \psi \rangle$	$\{ \langle [u], \psi \rangle \mid v \preceq u \}$
$\langle [v], \psi \rangle$	$\{ \langle u, \psi \rangle \mid v \sqsubseteq u \}$
$\langle v, P \rangle$ and $v \in V(P)$	$\emptyset$
$\langle v, \nu X.\psi_X \rangle$	$\{ \langle v, \psi_X \rangle \}$
$\langle v, X \rangle$	$\{ \langle v, \psi_X \rangle \}$
$\langle v, \psi \rangle, v \in W^\perp$ and $\psi \in \text{Sub}(\varphi)$	$\emptyset$

# POSITIVENESS — A TECHNICAL POINT

## Proposition

*Suppose that  $X$  is positive in  $\varphi(X)$ , then  $\Gamma_\varphi(X)$  is monotone.*

- ▶  $X$  is positive and negative in  $P$ ;
- ▶  $X$  is positive in  $X$  ;
- ▶ if  $Y \neq X$ ,  $X$  is positive and negative in  $Y$  ;
- ▶ if  $X$  is positive (negative) in  $\varphi$ , then  $X$  is negative (positive) in  $\neg\varphi$ ;
- ▶ if  $X$  is positive (negative) in  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$ , then  $X$  is positive (negative) in  $\varphi \wedge \psi$ ,  $\varphi \vee \psi$ , and  $\Delta\varphi$ ;
- ▶ if  $X$  is negative (positive) in  $\varphi$  and positive (negative) in  $\psi$ , then  $X$  is negative (positive) in  $\varphi \rightarrow \psi$ ;
- ▶  $X$  is positive and negative in  $\eta X.\varphi$ .

# CS5

CS5 is obtained by adding to CK the axioms:

- ▶  $T := \Box\varphi \rightarrow \varphi \wedge \varphi \rightarrow \Diamond\varphi$ ;
- ▶  $4 := \Box\varphi \rightarrow \Box\Box\varphi \wedge \Diamond\Diamond\varphi \rightarrow \Diamond\varphi$ ; and
- ▶  $5 := \Diamond\varphi \rightarrow \Box\Diamond\varphi \wedge \Diamond\Box\varphi \rightarrow \Box\varphi$ .

A CS5 model is a constructive Kripke model  $\langle W, W^\perp, \preceq, R, V \rangle$  where  $R$  is an equivalence relation.

Theorem (Essentially Ono and Fischer-Servi)

*CS5 is complete over CS5 models.*

# COLLAPSE

## Lemma

Let  $M = \langle W, W^\perp, \preceq, \equiv, V \rangle$  be a **CS5** model and  $w \preceq; \equiv w'$ . Then

$$M, w \models \Delta\varphi \text{ implies } M, w' \models \Delta\varphi,$$

where  $\Delta \in \{\Box, \Diamond\}$ .

At any long enough game, we will have positions:

$$\langle \nu X.\varphi, w \rangle \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \langle \Box\psi, v \rangle \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \langle \Box\psi, v' \rangle \rightarrow \cdots$$

We can use this fact to show that  $\varphi(\varphi(\top)) \equiv \varphi(\varphi(\varphi(\top)))$ .

# $\mu$ CS5 IS COMPLETE

$\mu$ CS5 is obtained by adding to CS5 the axioms:

- ▶  $\nu X.\varphi \rightarrow \varphi(\nu X.\varphi)$ ;
- ▶  $\varphi(\mu X.\varphi) \rightarrow \mu X.\varphi$ ;

and the rules:

$$\frac{\psi \rightarrow \varphi(\psi)}{\psi \rightarrow \nu X.\varphi} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\varphi(\psi) \rightarrow \psi}{\mu X.\varphi \rightarrow \psi}.$$

## Theorem

*$\mu$ CS5 is complete over CS5 frames.*

Using the collapse to modal logic, we can lift the completeness of CS5 to the completeness of  $\mu$ CS5.

# THANK YOU!

- ▶ Game semantics for the constructive  $\mu$ -calculus.
- ▶ The  $\mu$ -calculus collapses to modal logic over CS5 frames.
- ▶  $\mu$ CS5 is complete over CS5 frames.
- ▶ Next step: show that  $\mu$ CK is complete over CK frames.

For detailed proofs and references, see the preprint: L. Pacheco, “Game semantics for the constructive  $\mu$ -calculus”, arXiv:2308.16697.